

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

Laws of Minnesota 2018 Accomplishment Plan

General Information

Date: 08/06/2020

Project Title: Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase X

Funds Recommended: \$5,061,000

Legislative Citation: ML 2018, Ch. 208, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd 4(a)

Appropriation Language: \$5,061,000 the second year is to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Pheasants Forever to acquire lands in fee and to restore and enhance wetlands and grasslands to be designated and managed as waterfowl production areas in Minnesota, in cooperation with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service. A list of proposed land acquisitions must be provided as part of the required accomplishment plan.

Manager Information

Manager's Name: Eran Sandquist **Title:** State Coordinator - MN

Organization: Pheasants Forever, Inc. **Address:** 410 Lincoln Ave S Box 91 **City:** South Haven, MN 55382

Email: esandquist@pheasantsforever.org

Office Number: 320-236-7755 **Mobile Number:** 763-242-1273

Fax Number:

Website: www.pheasantsforever.org

Location Information

County Location(s): Lincoln, Kandiyohi, Meeker, McLeod, Lac qui Parle, Pope, Blue Earth, Lyon, Wright, Brown, Renville, Faribault, Cottonwood, Jackson, Stevens, Becker, Murray, Carver, Douglas, Big Stone, Swift, Steele, Stearns, Martin, Grant, Clay, Otter Tail, Rice and Traverse.

Eco regions in which work will take place:

- Forest / Prairie Transition
- Prairie
- Metro / Urban

Activity types:

• Protect in Fee

Priority resources addressed by activity:

- Wetlands
- Prairie

Narrative

Abstract

This proposal accelerates the strategic permanent protection of 915 acres (220 wetlands and 695 grasslands) of Waterfowl Production Areas (WPAs) open to public hunting in Minnesota. Pheasants Forever (PF) will strategically acquire parcels that are adjacent to existing public land or create corridors between complexes. All acquisitions will occur in the prairie, prairie/forest transition, or metro regions.

Design and Scope of Work

The loss of grassland and wetland habitats in Minnesota is well documented. In the agricultural region of Minnesota over 90% of our wetlands and 99% our prairie grasslands have been converted for other uses. This proposal aims to slow or reverse this downward trend by strategically acquiring and restoring previously converted wetland and grassland habitats to be permanently protected as WPAs. The United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and their partners have been employing this strategy for over 50-years through the Small Wetlands Acquisition Program (SWAP). This tenth phase of the WPA acceleration program builds upon past work of the USFWS SWAP as well as the previous nine phases of this effort by strategically acquiring 915 acres (220 acres of wetlands and 695 acres of grassland habitat) for the benefit of upland species and recreational opportunities of the public.

Strategic properties will be identified by using landscape level planning tools [e.g. Thunderstorm Maps produced by the USFWS's Habitat and Population Evaluation Team (HAPET)]. Based on HAPET evaluation strategies, modeling predictions can be made on the numbers of nesting waterfowl, grassland nesting birds, and other wildlife the acres impacted by this grant application can produce. In addition to wildlife benefits, the lands acquired and restored through this grant will provide improved water quality, groundwater recharge, and flood abatement benefits. These strategies are well tested and are supported by the greater conservation community in Minnesota. Hunting and fishing stakeholders are very interested in increasing opportunities for hunting and fishing public access and have used WPA's extensively in the past. To address concerns related to the erosion of county tax revenues due to public land, the USFWS and PF will notify counties prior to the acquisition of lands. Once acquired, the USFWS will make a one-time payment (called a Trust Fund payment) to the county where the property is located. In addition, the USFWS will make annual refuge revenue sharing payments for all fee lands within the respective counties.

Additional funding for restoration and development of the properties will be solicited from partners. If this funding is available, budgeted Outdoor Heritage restoration funds under this proposal would be able to accomplish additional fee title w/o PILT acquisition funds. All wetlands, on the properties acquired, will be restored by either surface ditch "plugs", breaking sub-surface tile lines, or other best practices for wetland restoration. Grasslands will be restored by planting site-appropriate native grasses and forbs following known best practices for establishment. Grassland restoration on individual tracts may take three to five years, involving one to two years of post-acquisition farming to prepare the site for seeding (e.g. weed management issues, chemical carryover, other site-specific issues). Other restoration activities could include invasive tree removal, building site-cleanup, prescribed fire, etc. as necessary to provide high-quality habitat and public access to the citizens of Minnesota.

How does the plan address habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and list targeted species?

This strategic acquisition and restoration of lands adjacent to existing prairie wetland complexes increases the overall size of the habitat complex, reducing edge and tremendously benefiting those species that rely on larger blocks of habitat including: marbled godwit, bobolink, and grasshopper sparrow. Where available, protection of remnant native prairie is prioritized highly. Once fully restored, wetlands and high diversity grassland complexes provide habitat for a myriad of species including waterfowl, black terns, bobolinks, meadowlarks, ring-necked pheasants, pollinators and monarchs. Other species of concern benefiting from this project include the greater prairie chicken, short-eared owl, marsh hawk, and yellow rail.

This project focuses on the protection and restoration of prairie wetland and grassland habitats in western and southern Minnesota. These geographic areas of Minnesota are part of the Prairie Pothole Region (PPR) of North America, known for it's waterfowl production potential and importance to grassland nesting birds. Recent studies have documented the conversion of grasslands to cropland, which has caused grassland nesting birds to continue their severe long-term declines as compared to other groups of birds. The protection and restoration of wetland and grassland habitats proposed here assist in reversing the decline of grassland nesting birds and stabilizing waterfowl production in Minnesota.

Describe how the plan uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey:

This proposal utilizes the best science and modeling available to build and/or expand corridors and complexes. The USFWS Habitat and Population Evaluation Team (HAPET) provides landscape level planning and decision support tools (e.g. Thunderstorm maps) used to identify the most important wetland and grassland habitats remaining in the PPR of Minnesota. To scale this large programmatic grant to local landscape level priorities, PF works in close collaboration with the local area managers of USFWS, MNDNR, and other Minnesota partners to build on existing grassland and wetland conservation efforts. This proposal will continue to leverage spatial data and the power of GIS to identify acquisitions based on landscape level priority areas. Preference is given to project sites that help deliver the goals of other recognized conservation initiatives and plans. Data layers (i.e. MN Biological Survey, Natural Heritage Database, MN Prairie Plan, Wellhead Protection Areas, HAPET Scores, Pheasant Action Plan, existing protected land, etc.) will be used to help justify projects and focus areas as well as to inform decisions when allocating scarce dollars for habitat protection. If species of concern are located on or adjacent to project tracts as identified in the MBS layer, we take an extra consideration when developing projects and this ultimately may change the way we look at and prioritize project tracts. In addition, if there are rare or sensitive species on sites, we will be able to identify those, communicate with the appropriate long-term land managers, and ensure we're having a positive impact on these species.

Which two sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are most applicable to this project?

- H1 Protect priority land habitats
- H5 Restore land, wetlands and wetland-associated watersheds

Which two other plans are addressed in this program?

- Long Range Duck Recovery Plan
- North American Waterfowl Management Plan

Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program?

Forest / Prairie Transition

• Protect, enhance, and restore migratory habitat for waterfowl and related species, so as to increase migratory and breeding success

Metro / Urban

• Protect, enhance, and restore remnant native prairie, Big Woods forests, and oak savanna with an emphasis on areas with high biological diversity

Prairie

 Protect, enhance, or restore existing wetland/upland complexes, or convert agricultural lands to new wetland/upland habitat complexes

Does this program include leveraged funding?

-

Non-OHF Appropriations

Year	Source	Amount
Annual	PF	\$100,000

How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?

All grant monies received for this project will result in fee title transfers of additional land into the National Wildlife Refuge System. The long-term protection and management of these habitats will be the responsibility of the USFWS, an agency that employs professional managers, biologists, field staff, realty staff, and enforcement officers. The USFWS has an annual operating budget designated specifically for the management of refuge resources. Wetlands and their contributing watersheds will be protected and prairie habitats monitored and managed. The USFWS has an active, professional prescribed burning program and utilizes fire to reduce woody invasion of prairies, enhance diversity, and rejuvenate uplands. Biological, mechanical, and sometimes chemical treatments are used as needed in an integrated management approach to provide high quality migration and breeding habitats. Acquisitions are targeted within areas that have existing USFWS ownership to reduce management and administrative costs. In addition, great care is given to the creation and acquisition of possible new Waterfowl Production Areas outside existing ownership areas.

Actions to Maintain Project Outcomes

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
Post Transfer	Federal	Monitoring	Maintenance	Habitat Management

Activity Details

Requirements

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056?

Yes

Will local government approval be sought prior to acquisition?

No

 $Describe\ any\ measures\ to\ inform\ local\ governments\ of\ land\ acquisition\ under\ their\ jurisdiction:$

At minimum we will notify local government in writing of the intent to acquire and donate lands to the

USFWS and follow up with questions prior to acquisition. In cases where there is interest, we will also indicate our willingness to attend or ask to attend county or township meetings to communicate our interest in the projects and seek support.

Is the land you plan to acquire (fee title) free of any other permanent protection?

No

Describe the permanent protection and justification for additional protection:

A limited number of the parcels may have a federal or state easement on a portion of the tract which provides permanent protection for wetlands or grasslands. If a parcel has one of these encumbrances, and is still deemed a high priority by our agency partners, we will follow guidance established by the Outdoor Heritage Fund to proceed, or use non-state funding to acquire the residual value of the protected portion of the property.

Land Use

Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program?

Yes

Explain what will be planted:

This proposal may include initial development plans or restoration plans to utilize farming to prepare previously farmed sites for native plant seeding. This is a standard practice across the Midwest to prepare the seedbed for native seed planting. In these restorations, PF's policy is to use non-neonicotinoid treated seed and no herbicides other than glyphosate.

Are any of the crop types planted GMO treated?

True

Is this land currently open for hunting and fishing?

No

Will the land be open for hunting and fishing after completion?

Yes

Describe any variation from the State of Minnesota regulations:

All acquired lands will be open to the public taking of fish and game during the open season according to the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act, United States Code, title 16, section 668dd, et seq.

Who will eventually own the fee title land?

Department of the Interior

Are there currently trails or roads on any of the proposed acquisitions?

No

Will new trails or roads be developed or improved as a result of the OHF acquisition?

No

Other OHF Appropriation Awards

Timeline

Activity Name	Estimated Completion Date
Identify priority acquisitions	07/01/2018
Contract appraisals ordered	09/01/2018

Purchase agreements	02/01/2019
Re-evaluate tract priority	02/14/2019
Contract appraisals ordered	04/01/2019
Purchase agreements	09/01/2019
Close on tracts	01/01/2021
Restoration completed	06/30/2023

Date of Final Report Submission: 11/01/2023

Budget

Totals

Item	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$40,500	-		\$40,500
Contracts	\$525,000	-		\$525,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	-	-		-
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	\$4,283,700	\$1,500,000	Federal, Private, PF	\$5,783,700
Easement Acquisition	-	-		-
Easement Stewardship	-	-		-
Travel	\$2,900	-		\$2,900
Professional Services	\$115,000	\$400	Private	\$115,400
Direct Support Services	\$9,900	-		\$9,900
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$11,000	-		\$11,000
Capital Equipment	-	-		-
Other Equipment/Tools	-	-		-
Supplies/Materials	\$73,000	-		\$73,000
DNR IDP	-	-		-
Grand Total	\$5,061,000	\$1,500,400		\$6,561,400

Personnel

Position	Annual FTE	Years Working	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
PF State Coordinator - MN	0.03	3.0	\$8,500	•		\$8,500
PF Field Staff	0.05	3.0	\$17,000	-		\$17,000
PF Grants Staff	0.05	3.0	\$15,000	-		\$15,000

Amount of Request: \$5,061,000 **Amount of Leverage:** \$1,500,400

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 29.65%

DSS + Personnel: \$50,400

As a % of the total request: 1.0%

Easement Stewardship: -

As a % of the Easement Acquisition: -

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

We have reduced accomplishments/costs proportionately across the overall program to accommodate the reduced appropriation. As a result of the reduction, we will be able to protect fewer acres. As in past appropriations, we will focus on the most strategic, highest priority tracts.

Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds:

Leverage is expected from multiple sources, including but not limited to federal sources, land value donations, contractor donations and PF. Not every source is 100% confirmed at this point. However, PF has an exemplary track record of delivery and over-achievement of match commitments that further stretch OHF funding.

Contracts

What is included in the contracts line?

We anticipate that all of the contract funding will be used for restoration, enhancement and initial development of the protected acres. This could include but is not limited to wetland/grassland restoration, tree removal, prescribed fire, building removal, parking lots, signage, and other development activities.

Travel

Does the amount in the travel line include equipment/vehicle rental?

-

Explain the amount in the travel line outside of traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodging

I understand and agree that lodging, meals, and mileage must comply with the current MMB Commissioner Plan:

No

Direct Support Services

How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program?

PF utilizes the Total Modified Direct Cost method. This methodology is annually approved by the U.S. Department of Interior's National Business Center as the basis for the organization's Indirect Cost Rate agreement. PF's allowable direct support services cost is 4.12%. In this proposal, PF has discounted its rate to 1.5% of the sum of personnel, contracts, professional services, supplies and travel. We are donating the difference in-kind.

Federal Funds

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program?

Yes

Are the funds confirmed?

Yes

Is Confirmation Document attached?

Yes

Cash: \$1,168,320In Kind: \$292,080

Output Tables

Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Acres
Restore	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	220	695	0	0	915
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0
Total	220	695	0	0	915

Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Funding
Restore	-	ı	ı	ı	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	1	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	\$1,216,900	\$3,844,100	-	-	\$5,061,000
Protect in Easement	-	-	1	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$1,216,900	\$3,844,100	-	-	\$5,061,000

Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Acres
Restore	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	35	145	0	735	0	915
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	35	145	0	735	0	915

Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	\$193,600	\$802,000	-	\$4,065,400	-	\$5,061,000
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$193,600	\$802,000	-	\$4,065,400	-	\$5,061,000

Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat
Restore	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	\$5,531	\$5,531	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-

Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest
Restore	1	-	ı	ı	-
Protect in Fee with State	-	-	-	-	-
PILT Liability					
Protect in Fee w/o State	\$5,531	\$5,531	-	\$5,531	-

PILT Liability					
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-

Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

Outcomes

Programs in forest-prairie transition region:

• Protected, restored, and enhanced nesting and migratory habitat for waterfowl, upland birds, and species of greatest conservation need ~ *Number of acres of wetlands and uplands protected and restored.*

Programs in metropolitan urbanizing region:

 Protected habitats will hold wetlands and shallow lakes open to public recreation and hunting ~ Number and acres of wetlands protected and restored. Number of acquisitions that provide additional access to existing public lands.

Programs in prairie region:

• Protected, restored, and enhanced habitat for migratory and unique Minnesota species ~ *Number of acres of wetlands and uplands protected and restored.*

Parcels

Parcel Information

Sign-up Criteria?

No

Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list:

Protect Parcels with Buildings

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection	Buildings	Value of Buildings
Stinking Lake WPA	Becker	14043219	600	\$2,500,000	No	0	\$0
Warling Lake WPA	Becker	14043236	40	\$125,000	No	0	\$0
Addition				, ===,,,,,,,			, ,
Hellickson Prairie WPA	Becker	14243224	320	\$1,100,000	No	0	\$0
Addition				, ,			·
Twin Lakes WPA Addition	Big Stone	12246235	215	\$1,075,000	No	0	-
Hillman WPA Addition	Big Stone	12145216	158	\$632,000	No	0	\$0
Hillman WPA Addition	Big Stone	12145211	151	\$550,000	No	0	\$0
Akron WPA Addition	Big Stone	12144211	358	\$1,700,000	Yes	3	\$12,000
Kufrin WPA Addition	Big Stone	12245221	120	\$600,000	No	0	-
Lincoln WPA Addition	Blue Earth	10729230	86	\$605,000	No	0	\$0
New WPA	Blue Earth	10729234	40	\$320,000	No	0	\$0
Strom Lake WPA	Blue Earth	10829220	105	\$650,000	No	0	\$0
Cobb WPA Addition	Blue Earth	10626214	35	\$200,000	No	0	\$0
Strom Lake WPA	Blue Earth	10829219	-	\$362,000	No	0	\$0
Strom Lake WPA	Brown	10830224	78	\$613,000	No	0	\$0
Strom Lake WPA Addition	Brown	10830224	135	\$945,000	No	0	\$0
New WPA	Brown	10834208	160	\$900,000	No	0	\$0
Tiger Lake WPA Addition	Carver	11526209	153	\$900,000	No	0	\$0
Tiger Lake WPA Addition	Carver	11526215	115	\$800,000	No	0	\$0
Bjorndahl WPA Addition	Clay	10845224	40	\$110,000	No	0	\$0
Nord WPA Addition	Clay	14044211	80	\$325,000	Yes	0	\$0
Clear Lake WPA Addition	Cottonwood	10538235	160	\$960,000	No	0	\$0
Nelson WPA Addition	Douglas	12144201	160	\$650,000	Yes	0	\$0
Chippewa Valley WPA	Douglas	13038218	203	\$850,000	No	0	\$0
Addition	D 1	10000006		#0 = 0.00	3.7		40
Millerville WPA Addition	Douglas	13038206	60	\$250,000	No	0	\$0
Prescott WPA Addition	Faribault	10324235	160	\$1,184,000	No	0	\$0
Minnesota Lake WPA	Faribault	10425212	40	\$280,000	No	0	\$0
Addition	E 1 1	10007017	1.00	#1 0 1 0 0 0 0	NT.	0	φo
Kiester WPA Addition	Faribault Grant	10327217	160 70	\$1,040,000	No	0	\$0
Spaulding WPA Addition Ellingson WPA Addition		13041232		\$215,000	No Yes	0	\$0
	Grant	12841224	155	\$275,000			\$0
TBD WPA	Jackson	10437205	162	\$1,250,000	No	0	\$0
TBD WPA	Jackson	10236232	65	\$175,000		0	\$0
Sioux Forks WPA Addition	Jackson	10137224	312	\$2,200,000	No	0	\$0 \$0
Maverick Slough WPA	Kandiyohi	12035205	120	\$200,000	No	0	\$0
Addition Freese WPA Addition	Kandiyohi	12226211	40	¢125 000	No	0	¢۸
Zwemke WPA Addition	Kandiyohi	12236211 12036222	40 26	\$125,000	No No	0	\$0
Bur Oak Lake WPA	Kandiyohi	12036222	185	\$115,000 \$675,000	No	0	\$0 \$0
Addition	Kanuiyom	14034434	100	Φ 0/5,000	110	١	\$0
Sweep WPA	Kandiyohi	12034220	50	\$200,000	No	0	\$0
Degroot WPA		11836227	40	\$200,000	No	0	\$0
Degroot WPA	Kandiyohi	1103022/	40	\$100,000	INO	U	D

Carlson Lake WPA	Kandiyohi	12034209	80	\$320,000	No	0	\$0
Freese WPA	Kandiyohi	12236211	80	\$320,000	No	0	\$0
Lake Lillian WPA Addition	Kandiyohi	11734201	50	\$275,000	No	4	\$45,000
Big Stone WPA	Lac qui Parle	12046203	152	\$990,000	No	0	\$0
Beyer WPA Addition - 2	Lac qui Parle	12046234	230	\$1,150,000	No	0	\$0
Beyer WPA Addition - 1	Lac qui Parle	12046233	280	\$1,120,000	No	0	\$0
Hansonville WPA	Lincoln	11346201	80	\$360,000	No	0	\$0
Gisalson Lake WPA	Lincoln	11244235	160	\$650,000	No	0	\$0
Addition	200111	11211200	100	4000,000	1.0		40
TBD WPA	Lincoln	11146208	160	\$960,000	No	0	\$0
Gislason Lake WPA	Lincoln	11244235	160	\$804,700	No	0	\$0
Addition				•			
TBD WPA	Lincoln	11146228	80	\$550,000	No	0	\$0
TBD WPA	Lincoln	10944223	83	\$498,000	No	0	\$0
Island WPA	Lyon	11143207	142	\$570,200	Yes	0	\$0
Bendix WPA Addition	Lyon	10941221	45	\$160,000	No	0	\$0
Stofer WPA Addition	Lyon	11240214	160	\$960,000	No	0	\$0
Island WPA	Lyon	11143208	86	\$300,000	No	0	\$0
Bendix WPA Addition	Lyon	10941220	17	\$85,000	No	3	\$0
Island WPA	Lyon	11143208	80	\$300,000	Yes	0	\$0
TBD WPA	Martin	10132204	80	\$640,000	No	0	\$0
TBD WPA	Martin	10333223	160	\$700,000	No	0	\$0
Barber Lake WPA	McLeod	11630227	120	\$900,000	No	0	\$0
Addition							
Phasianus WPA	McLeod	11629235	112	\$375,000	No	0	\$0
Harvey WPA	Meeker	12031231	80	\$280,000	No	0	\$0
Tyrone Flats WPA	Meeker	12131223	80	\$320,000	No	0	\$0
Addition							
Litchfield WPA Addition	Meeker	11931235	150	\$700,000	No	0	\$0
Litchfield WPA Addition	Meeker	11931235	160	\$748,000	No	0	\$0
TBD WPA	Murray	10839226	80	\$567,234	No	0	\$0
Giese WPA Addition	Murray	10539225	40	\$200,000	No	0	\$0
Mason WPA Addition	Murray	10741216	80	\$500,000	No	0	\$0
Nicholoson WPA Addition	Otter Tail	13142205	8	\$40,000	No	0	\$0
Putnam WPA Addition	Otter Tail	13743225	160	\$650,000	No	0	\$0
Julsrud WPA Addition	Otter Tail	13644205	136	\$250,000	No	0	\$0
Wall WPA Addition	Pope	12437218	147	\$588,000		0	\$0
Bangor WPA Addition	Pope	12436234	31	\$155,000	No	0	-
Stenerson WPA Addition	Pope	12438216	87	\$350,000	No	0	\$0
Boon Lake WPA	Renville	11631218	150	\$900,000	No	0	\$0
Sacred Heart WPA	Renville	11537215	18	\$95,000	No	0	\$0
Addition	D.	11122215	77	¢500.000	N.T	0	#40.000
Erin Prairie WPA Addition	Rice	11122215	77	\$500,000	No	0	\$40,000
Erin PrairieWPA Addition	Rice	11122216	85	\$506,000	No	0	\$0
Z TDD M/DA	Charana	12625207	400	¢2.000.000	V		ф <u>о</u>
TBD WPA	Stearns	12635207	400	\$2,000,000	Yes	0	\$0
Trisko WPA Addition	Stearns	12534206	41	\$55,000	No	0	\$0
Roscoe WPA	Stearns	12332236	40	\$150,000	No	_	\$0
Eden Valley WPA	Stearns	12231231	20	\$90,000	No	0	\$0
Straight River WPA	Steele	10520222	212	\$1,300,000	No No	0	\$0 \$0
Pepperton WPA Addition	Stevens	12543227	239	\$1,600,000		0	\$0 \$0
Johnson WPA Addition Lubenow WPA Addition	Stevens Swift	12643206	232	\$928,000	No No	0	\$0 \$0
	Swift	12243234	110	\$440,000	No	+ -	\$0 \$0
Byre WPA Addition Welsh WPA Addition	Swift	12243222 12238235	116 116	\$696,000 \$464,000	No No	0	Φ U
Svor WPA Addition	Swift	12238235	160	\$960,000	No	0	-
Mosquito Ranch Addition	Traverse	12548228	400	\$1,600,000	No	0	\$0
Robinhood WPA Addition	Traverse	12548228	160	\$725,000	No	0	\$0 \$0
RODIIIIOOU WYA AUUIUON	Haveise	14340448	100	₽/ 4 3,000	INU	U	D 4

Pelican Lake Addition	Wright	12125235	60	\$360,000	No	0	\$0
Silver Creek WPA Addition	Wright	12126201	70	\$325,000	No	0	\$0
Pelican Lake WPA	Wright	12025202	12	\$35,000	No	3	\$0
Addition	_						
Silver Creek WPA	Wright	12126201	70	\$280,000	No	0	\$0

